MM Optimization Algorithms

Chathuranga Weeraddana

April 2022

LECTURE 2: KEY INEQUALITIES FOR MM (PART I)

Majorizations and Minorizations

- it involves ingenuity and skill
- a list helpful majorizations and minorizations
- next 2-3 lectures we review a few basic themes
- list is still growing

JENSEN'S INEQUALITY

Jensen's Inequality

 \blacktriangleright recall: when f is convex, then we have

$$f(\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y) \le \alpha f(x) + (1 - \alpha)f(y), \quad \alpha \in [0, 1]$$

▶ more generally

$$f\left(\sum_{i} \alpha_{i} t_{i}\right) \leq \sum_{i} \alpha_{i} f(t_{i}), \qquad (1)$$

where $\sum_i \alpha_i = 1$ and $\alpha_i \ge 0$ for all i

A Different Useful Form

• suppose $a \in {\rm I\!R}^N$ and $\theta \in {\rm I\!R}^N$ and all are possitive

in (1), let

$$\alpha_i = \frac{a_i \theta_i^{(n)}}{a^{\mathsf{T}} \theta^{(n)}}$$
 and $t_i = \frac{a^{\mathsf{T}} \theta^{(n)}}{\theta_i^{(n)}} \theta_i$

then from (1), we get

$$f(a^{\mathsf{T}}\theta) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{a_{i}\theta_{i}^{(n)}}{a^{\mathsf{T}}\theta^{(n)}} f\left(\frac{a^{\mathsf{T}}\theta^{(n)}}{\theta_{i}^{(n)}} \theta_{i}\right)$$
(2)
= $g(\theta|\theta^{(n)})$

probability model: Poisson

it predicts number of events over some period of time

probability that there are y events is given by

$$p_{\mu}(Y=y) = \frac{\mu^y e^{-\mu}}{y!}$$

▶ let μ modeled as an affine function of $u \in \mathbb{R}^N$, i.e., $\mu = \theta^{\mathsf{T}} u$

• u: the explanatory variable, θ : the model parameter

• (u(j), y(j)), j = 1, ..., m: a number of observations (data)

• ML estimate of the model parameters $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^N_{++}$?

the likelihood function of data has the form

$$p_{\theta}((u(j), y(j))_{j}) = \prod_{j=1}^{m} \frac{(\theta^{\mathsf{T}}u(j))^{y(j)} e^{-\theta^{\mathsf{T}}u(j)}}{y(j)!}$$

▶ the log-likelihood function $f(\theta) = \log p_{\theta}((u(j), y(j))_{j})$

• the log-likelihood function f should be maximized over θ

let us compute a minorization function:

$$f(\theta) = \log p_{\theta} ((u(j), y(j))_{j})$$

= $\sum_{j} y(j) \log (u(j)^{\mathsf{T}} \theta) - u(j)^{\mathsf{T}} \theta - \log(y(j)!)$
 $\stackrel{(2)}{\geq} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \left[y(j) \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_{jin} \log (s_{jin} \theta_{i}) - u(j)^{\mathsf{T}} \theta \right] + s$
= $g(\theta | \theta^{(n)}),$

where

$$w_{jin} = \frac{u_i(j)\theta_i^{(n)}}{u(j)^\mathsf{T}\theta^{(n)}} \text{ and } s_{jin} = \frac{u(j)^\mathsf{T}\theta^{(n)}}{\theta_i^{(n)}}$$

► as a result of maximizing $g(\theta|\theta^{(n)})$, we have

$$\theta_i^{(n+1)} = \left(\sum_{j=1}^m y(j) w_{jin}\right) / \sum_{j=1}^m u_i(j)$$

 \blacktriangleright for an arbitrary explanatory $u \in {\rm I\!R}^N$, the Poisson model is

$$p_{\theta^{\star}}(Y=y) = \frac{\left(\theta^{\star^{\mathsf{T}}}u\right)^{y} \exp\left(-\theta^{\star^{\mathsf{T}}}u\right)}{y!},$$

where θ^{\star} is given by the MM algorithm after the convergence

used for ¹

categorizing age groups of animals

medical diagnosis and prognosis

latent structure analysis

probability distribution is modeled as

$$p_{\phi,\pi}(y) = \sum_{k=1}^{c} \pi_k \ p_{k\phi}(y)$$
 (3)

• $\theta = (\phi, \pi) = (\phi, \pi_1, \dots, \pi_c)$: the model parameter

¹For more examples, see § 2 of *Statistical Analysis of Finite Mixture Distributions* by D. M. Titterington, A.F.M. Smith and U.E. Makov, 1985.

e.g., Gaussian mixture model

$$\phi = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_c, \Sigma_1, \dots, \Sigma_c)$$

• $p_{k\phi}(\cdot)$ is a Gaussian density, more specifically

$$p_{k\phi}(y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^{l} |\Sigma_{k}|}} \exp\left(-\frac{(y-\mu_{k})^{\mathsf{T}} \Sigma_{k}^{-1} (y-\mu_{k})}{2}\right)$$
(4)

$$\bullet = (\mu_{1}, \dots, \mu_{c}, \Sigma_{1}, \dots, \Sigma_{c}, \pi_{1}, \dots, \pi_{c})$$

• (y(j)), j = 1, ..., m: a number of observations (data)

- ML estimate of the model parameters θ?
- the likelihood function of data has the form

$$p_{\theta}((y(j))_{j}) = \prod_{j=1}^{m} p_{\phi,\pi}(y(j))$$
$$= \prod_{j=1}^{m} \sum_{k=1}^{c} \pi_{k} p_{k\phi}(y(j))$$

► the log-likelihood function $f(\theta) = \log p_{\theta}((y(j))_j)$

• the log-likelihood function f should be maximized over θ

let us compute a minorization function:

$$f(\theta) = \log p_{\theta}((y(j))_{j})$$

$$= \sum_{j} \log \left(\sum_{k=1}^{c} \pi_{k} p_{k\phi}(y(j)) \right)$$

$$\stackrel{(2)}{\geq} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \left[\sum_{k=1}^{c} w_{jkn} \log \left(s_{jkn} \pi_{k} p_{k\phi}(y(j)) \right) \right]$$

$$= g(\theta | \theta^{(n)}),$$

where

Ĵ

$$w_{jkn} = \frac{\pi_k^{(n)} \ p_{k,\phi^{(n)}}(y(j))}{\sum_{i=1}^c \pi_i^{(n)} \ p_{i,\phi^{(n)}}(y(j))} \text{ and } s_{jkn} = w_{jkn}^{-1}$$

 \blacktriangleright let us maximize $g(\theta|\theta^{(n)})$ which is given by 2

$$g(\theta|\theta^{(n)}) = \sum_{k=1}^{c} \sum_{j=1}^{m} w_{jkn} \log \pi_k + \sum_{k=1}^{c} \sum_{j=1}^{m} w_{jkn} \log p_{k\phi}(y(j))$$
$$= \sum_{k=1}^{c} \alpha_{kn} \log \pi_k + \sum_{k=1}^{c} \sum_{j=1}^{m} w_{jkn} \log p_{k\phi}(y(j))$$

where $\alpha_{kn} = \sum_{j=1}^{m} w_{jkn}$

▶ ϕ and $\pi = (\pi_1, \dots, \pi_c)$ are separate \rightarrow maximize separately

²Irrelevant constants are dropped.

• maximization with respect to π

maximize
$$\sum_{k=1}^{c} \alpha_{kn} \log \pi_k$$

subject to
$$\sum_{k=1}^{c} \pi_k = 1$$

$$\pi_k \ge 0, \ k = 1, \dots, c$$
 (5)

closed form solution of the problem above is

$$\pi_k^{(n+1)} = \alpha_{kn} / \left(\sum_{\bar{k}=1}^c \alpha_{\bar{k}n}\right)$$
$$= \left(\sum_{j=1}^m w_{jkn}\right) / m$$

• suppose $p_{k\phi}$ is given by (4)

• maximization with respect to $\phi = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_c, \Sigma_1, \dots, \Sigma_c)$

maximize
$$\sum_{k=1}^{c} \sum_{j=1}^{m} w_{jkn} \log p_{k\phi}(y(j))$$

subject to
$$\sum_{k} \geq 0, \ k = 1, \dots, c$$
 (6)

alternating optimization to solve (6) in closed form

$$\begin{split} \mu_k^{(n+1)} &= (1/m) \sum_{j=1}^m y(j) \ \leftarrow \text{check! a mistake?} \\ \Sigma_k^{(n+1)} &= \frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^m w_{jkn}} \sum_{\bar{j}=1}^m w_{\bar{j}kn} \left(y(\bar{j}) - \mu_k^{(n+1)} \right) \left(y(\bar{j}) - \mu_k^{(n+1)} \right)^\mathsf{T} \end{split}$$

▶ as a result of maximizing $g(\theta|\theta^{(n)})$, we have

$$\theta_i^{(n+1)} = \left(\underbrace{\mu_1^{(n+1)}, \dots, \Sigma_1^{(n+1)}, \dots}_{\phi^{(n+1)}}, \underbrace{\pi_1^{(n+1)}, \dots, \pi_c^{(n+1)}}_{\pi^{(n+1)}}\right)$$

▶ thus, the pdf model $p_{\phi^{\star},\pi^{\star}}: \mathbb{R}^{l} \to \mathbb{R}$ is [compare with (3)]

$$p_{\phi^{\star},\pi^{\star}}(y) = \sum_{k=1}^{c} \pi_{k}^{\star} p_{k\phi^{\star}}(y)$$

where $\theta^{\star} = (\phi^{\star}, \pi^{\star})$ is given by the MM algorithm

CAUCHY-SCHWARZ INEQUALITY

Cauchy-Schwarz Inequality

• suppose
$$x, y \in {\rm I\!R}^N$$

Cauchy-Schwarz inequality is given by

$$|y^{\mathsf{T}}x| \le ||y|| \ ||x||$$

• i.e., $-||y|| \ ||x|| \le y^{\mathsf{T}}x \le ||y|| \ ||x||$

- MDS stands for multi dimensional scaling
- there are n objects
- we are also given their pairwise dissimilarity $d_{ij} \ge 0$
- ▶ need to represent n objects by using points in \mathbb{R}^p
- those points are given by $x_k \in {\rm I\!R}^p, \ k=1,\ldots,n$

 \blacktriangleright we want to compute $X \in {\rm I\!R}^{p \times n}$, where

$$X = [x_1 \cdots x_n]$$

 \blacktriangleright the variable X is computed by minimizing f where

$$f(X) = \sum_{i} \sum_{j \neq i} (d_{ij} - ||x_i - x_j||)^2$$

=
$$\sum_{i} \sum_{j \neq i} d_{ij}^2 + \sum_{i} \sum_{j \neq i} ||x_i - x_j||_{ij}^2$$

-
$$2\sum_{i} \sum_{j \neq i} d_{ij} ||x_i - x_j||$$

• function f should be minimized with respect to X

let us compute a majorization function to the last term

we have from the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality

$$-d_{ij}||x_i - x_j|| \le d_{ij} \frac{(x_i^{(n)} - x_j^{(n)})^{\mathsf{T}}(x_i - x_j)}{\left\|x_i^{(n)} - x_j^{(n)}\right\|} = g_{ij}(X|X^{(n)})$$

 \blacktriangleright thus a majorization function for f is given by

$$f(X) \le \sum_{i} \sum_{j \ne i} ||x_i - x_j||_{ij}^2 + 2 \sum_{i} \sum_{j \ne i} g_{ij}(X|X^{(n)}) + d$$

= $g(X|X^{(n)})$

\blacktriangleright f is not differentiable

• $g(\cdot | X^{(n)})$ is not only differentiable, but also quadratic

 \blacktriangleright further processing: $||x_i-x_j||^2$ can also be majorized

why?

► f is not differentiable

- $g(\ \cdot \ | X^{(n)})$ is not only differentiable, but also quadratic
- further processing: $||x_i x_j||^2$ can also be majorized

why? to enable separability

▶ a small trick based on the convexity of $|| \cdot ||^2$, i.e.,

► how?

$$\begin{aligned} ||x_{i} - x_{j}||^{2} &= \left\| x_{i} - x_{j} + (1/2) \left(x_{i}^{(n)} - x_{i}^{(n)} + x_{j}^{(n)} - x_{j}^{(n)} \right) \right\|^{2} \\ &= \left\| \left(x_{i} - (1/2) \left(x_{i}^{(n)} + x_{j}^{(n)} \right) \right) - \left(x_{j} - (1/2) \left(x_{i}^{(n)} + x_{j}^{(n)} \right) \right) \right\|^{2} \\ &= \left\| \frac{1}{2} \left(2x_{i} - \left(x_{i}^{(n)} + x_{j}^{(n)} \right) \right) - \frac{1}{2} \left(2x_{j} - \left(x_{i}^{(n)} + x_{j}^{(n)} \right) \right) \right\|^{2} \\ &\leq 2 \left\| x_{i} - \frac{1}{2} \left(x_{i}^{(n)} + x_{j}^{(n)} \right) \right\|^{2} + 2 \left\| x_{j} - \frac{1}{2} \left(x_{i}^{(n)} + x_{j}^{(n)} \right) \right\|^{2} \\ &= \tilde{g}_{ij}(X|X^{(n)}) \end{aligned}$$

 \blacktriangleright thus the new majorization function for f is given by

$$f(X) \le \sum_{i} \sum_{j \ne i} \tilde{g}_{ij}(X|X^{(n)}) + 2\sum_{i} \sum_{j \ne i} g_{ij}(X|X^{(n)}) + d$$

= $h(X|X^{(n)})$

• $h(\cdot | X^{(n)})$ is quadratic and separable

• minimize
$$h(\cdot | X^{(n)})$$

• closed form: up to each element x_{im} of x_i , i.e.,

$$x_{im}^{(n+1)} = r_i(x_{im}^{(n)})$$



Supporting Hyperplane Inequality

Supporting Hyperplane Inequality

▶ for a convex function it produces an affine minorization

for a concave function it produces an affine majorization

 \blacktriangleright suppose f is convex, then

$$f(x) \ge f(x^{(n)}) + v^{(n)\mathsf{T}}(x - x^{(n)})$$

= $g(x|x^{(n)})$

where $v^{(n)} \in \partial f(x^{(n)})$

Maximizing a Convex over Compact Set

 \blacktriangleright maximizing a convex f over compact $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$

not a convex problem

• however, the maximizing $g(\cdot |x^{(n)})$ turns out to be promising

▶ related to the well-known support function $\sigma_{\mathcal{C}}$ of \mathcal{C} given by

$$\sigma_{\mathcal{C}}(y) = \sup_{x \in \mathcal{C}} y^{\mathsf{T}} x$$

Maximizing a Convex over Compact Set

▶ e.g.,

maximize $(1/2)(x-a)^{\mathsf{T}}P(x-a)$ subject to ||x|| = 1

• P is positive semidefinite and $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$

the solution of the problem above is

$$x^{(n+1)} = \frac{1}{\|P(x^{(n)} - a)\|} P(x^{(n)} - a)$$

 \blacktriangleright minimizing a difference of convex functions f and h

• i.e.,
$$f - h$$
 is to be minimized

not a convex problem

 \blacktriangleright consider the following majorization for -h

$$-h(x) \le -h(x^{(n)}) - v^{(n)\mathsf{T}}(x - x^{(n)})$$

where $v^{(n)} \in \partial h(x^{(n)})$

• thus a majorization function for f - h is given by

$$f(x) - h(x) \le f(x) - h(x^{(n)}) - v^{(n)\mathsf{T}}(x - x^{(n)})$$

= $g(x|x^{(n)})$

 \blacktriangleright note that $g(\ \cdot \ | x^{(n)})$ is convex and we have

$$x^{(n+1)} = \underset{x}{\operatorname{arg\,min}} g(x|x^{(n)})$$

e.g., minimizing a quadratic over a compact and convex set

• let P be symmetric and indefinite, C compact and convex

consider the problem

 $\begin{array}{ll} \text{minimize} & x^{\mathsf{T}} P x\\ \text{subject to} & x \in \mathcal{C} \end{array}$

not a convex problem

• we can express $x^{\mathsf{T}} P x$ in the form f(x) - h(x), f, h convex

 \blacktriangleright the spectral decomposition of P

$$P = V\Lambda V^{\mathsf{T}} = \underbrace{\sum_{\{i|\lambda_i>0\}} \lambda_i v_i v_i^{\mathsf{T}}}_{Q} - \underbrace{\sum_{\{j|\lambda_j<0\}} |\lambda_j| v_j v_j^{\mathsf{T}}}_{R}$$
$$= Q - R$$

where $Q, R \succeq 0$

as a result, we have

$$x^{\mathsf{T}}Px = x^{\mathsf{T}}Qx - x^{\mathsf{T}}Rx$$
$$\leq x^{\mathsf{T}}Qx - 2x^{(n)\mathsf{T}}Rx + c$$
$$= g(x|x^{(n)})$$

thus the following problem is to be solved

maximize $g(x|x^{(n)}) = x^{\mathsf{T}}Qx - 2x^{(n)\mathsf{T}}Rx + c$ subject to $x \in \mathcal{C}$

this is a constrained (convex) quadratic problem where

$$x^{(n+1)} = \underset{x \in \mathcal{C}}{\operatorname{arg\,min}} g(x|x^{(n)})$$

another example: weighted sum-rate maximization

maximize
$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \log [1 + \text{SINR}_i(p)]$$

subject to $Ap \leq b$
 $p \geq 0$

where
$$p = [p_1 \dots p_N]^T$$
, $A \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times N}$, $b \in \mathbb{R}^M$, and
 $\operatorname{SINR}_i(p) = \frac{\alpha_i p_i}{\sigma^2 + \sum_{j \neq i} \alpha_i p_j}$

you will try this in homework